WA-15-0040



## DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

7272 Cleanwater Lane, Olympia, Washington 98504

206/753-2353

MEMORANDUM January 18, 1979

To:

Craig Baker

From:

Greg Cloud

Subject: Charleston STP Class II Inspection

## Introduction

The Charleston Wastewater Treatment Facility is a primary plant on the south side of Bremerton. It is composed of a headworks, parshall flume. four covered clarifiers, and an underground contact chamber. It receives both municipal and industrial waste, including a discharge from the metals plating division of the Bremerton Navy Yard. The plant also has an added load of sewage that is discharged into the headwork at the plant by septic tank pumping trucks. The final effluent is piped to Sinclair Inlet, with the discharge about 100 yards offshore. This surface water segment (07-15-03) is identified in the 5-year Strategy as meeting state water quality goals. The plant supervisor is Don Proctor. The plant is operated by Alan Rader. Laboratory analyses are done by Jack Hirsch.

## Findings and Conclusions

On November 7 and 8, 1978, Eric Egbers and I visited the facility to conduct a Class II inspection for permit compliance and laboratory procedures. Automatic composite samplers were installed on the influent, unchlorinated effluent and chlorinated effluent. A Manning "dipper" flow recorder was installed in their parshall flume for an accuracy comparison of Charleston's flow recorder. Flow was measured over the same time period that composite samplers were operating. The plant's flow meter was found to be measuring 118.6 percent of the actual flow.

The septic tank pumpers still dump at the plant on an irregular schedule. This highly concentrated sewage is the probable cause of some of the high fecal coliform effluent values. The irregular coliform values are compounded by the use of a manual feed on the chlorination system (see laboratory procedures and techniques).

The fecal coliform value (<10) was very low for the sample taken on November 8, 1978. The chlorine residual at that time was 2.8 ppm. Since these low values were less than permit limitations (1,500/100 ml Memo to Craig Baker January 18, 1979 Page Two

weekly average, 700/100 ml monthly average) it is stressed that the chlorinator be repaired to allow greater control of the chlorine added. After the automatic feed is fixed, chlorine residuals should be maintained at as low a level as possible with fecal coliform kill adequate to meet permit limitations. The need to repair the chlorinator was addressed a year ago and has not yet been accomplished.

The plant has had some problems in the past with sludge disposal. Apparently they are now using it at the county airport as a soil conditioner.

Heavy metals were sampled in the influent, unchlorinated effluent, and in the sludge. The values were not abnormally high in the influent or in the unchlorinated effluent. Metal concentrations in the sludge, with the exception of Zinc, were relatively high when compared to other municipal plants (Table I). Table I shows Charleston's trace metal concentrations in comparison with the means of trace metal concentration data collected during Washington State Class II inspections. The results from three plants were utilized for the influent concentration mean. The results from 24 plants were utilized for the sludge concentration mean.

Table I Trace Metal Concentrations and Toxic Limits

	Influ	ent Mg/l	Sludge (dry wgt. mg/kg)		
Parameter	Mean Concen.*	Charleston	Threshold Concen.2	Mean Concen.*	Charleston (Anaerobic)
Cu	.08	.15	.005 to $0.5^{3/}$	545.0	950.0
Cd	<.01	.01		11.7	16.0
Cr	<.03	.13		150.0	540.0
РЬ	<.05	of.	0.14/	535.0	630.0
Zn	.30	.23	.08 to $.5^{3/}$	1845.0	180.0

<sup>\*</sup> See Text

1/ From Mt. Vernon STP, Morhous, 1978.

2/ WPCF and ASC2, 1977. Manual of Practice 8, Wastewater Treatment Division, Lancaster Press.

3/ Threshold concentration inhibitary to the activated sludge nitrification process.

4/ Threshold concentration inhibitary to activated sludge carbonaceous BOD removal.

Memo to Craig Baker January 18, 1979 Page Three

These increased values might be related to the metals plating division at the Navy Yard. Jack Hirsch, at the treatment plant, mentioned that very high pH values were observed at the head works and were traced to the pump station at the Navy Yard. Jack Hirsch and Alan Rader were told that when high values are observed they should document the fact and visit the pump station and record the pH values found there. This wastewater flow should be fully characterized (pH, trace metal concentrations and flows) prior to design of a new secondary treatment facility.

Cyanide concentrations reported here are below those considered detrimental to biological wastewater treatment. The unchlorinated effluent value of 22.0 ppb is less than 25 percent of the low threshold for carbonaceous removal in sludge reported in MOP 81.

## Review of Laboratory Procedures and Techniques

Jack Hirsch now performs analyses at Charleston. On the previous inspection (September 6, 1977) Mr. Fitzwater ran the analyses. As before,  $BOD_5$  is still run on the unchlorinated effluent. It was again suggested that they gain confidence in their ability to run the  $BOD_5$  test on the chlorinated effluent and change their procedure.

The effluent sample location for the BOD5 test should be changed to include all four clarifiers instead of the three being presently sampled.

The Total Suspended Solids Test (TSS) should use a minimum of 50 ml of sample instead of a lesser volume. This sample should also be collected to include all four clarifiers.

WPCF and ASC2, 1977. Manual of Practice 8, Wastewater Treatment Division, Lancaster Press.

Sarq	oler Date and I Installed		:	Location				
1.	aliquot - Influent	11/7/78 at 1005 0 minutes	hrs.	Upstream from	bar screen			
2.	aliquot - Unchlorina		1/7/78 at 1035 hrs.	Combined clari effluent	fer final			
3.	aliquot - Chlorinate 250 mg/3	d effluent 11/ O minutes	7/78 at 1050 hrs.	Manhole outsid	le plant fence.			
	Grab Samples							
	Date and Time	Analysis		Sample Location				
	1/7/78 @ 1400 hrs. 1/8/78 @ 1000 hrs.			Manhole outsid Manhole outsid				
	Flow Measuring De	viœ						
	Type 12" parshall Dimensions	flume						
a. Meets standard criteria /X/ Yes // No Explain:								
	b. Accuracy chec Actual Instan. Flow Recorder Reading Recorder Accuracy							
	1. See findings & conclusions 2.6 mgd (% of inst. flow) 2. 3.							
is within accepted 15% error limitations is in need of calibration								
Fiel	d Data	3	C					
T P C T P C C	emperature 11/ H 11/ onductivity 11/ emperature 11/ H 11/ onductivity 11/ emperature 11/ H 11/ onductivity 11/ onductivity 11/ hlorine Residual 11/	e and ime 8/78 1100 hrs 8/78 1100 hrs 8/78 1100 hrs 8/78 1105 hrs 8/78 1105 hrs 8/78 1110 hrs 8/78 1110 hrs 8/78 1110 hrs 7/78 1110 hrs 7/78 1110 hrs	Unchlorin Unchlorin Chlorinat Chlorinat Chlorinat Chlorinat	Redated effluent lated effluent led effluent	15.5° 8.5 1750 15.5° 7.6 1750 15.4° 7.0 1900 3.5 ppm 2.9 ppm			

The following table is a comparison of laboratory results from 24 hour composite(s) together with NPDES permit effluent limitations. Additional results pertinent to this inspection have also been included.

				Cr	harleston ST	p	NPDES
November 8, 1978	Influent	DOE Unchlori- nated Eff.	Chlorinated Effluent	Influent	Unchlori- nated Eff.	Chlorinated Effluent	(Monthly average
BOD <sub>5</sub> mg/l lbs/day	205 4445	134 2906	116 2515	220 4770	150 3253		165 mgl 4800 lbs
ISS mg/l lbs/day	130 2819	50 1084	48 1041	197 4272	103 2233		day 140 mgl 4100 lbs
Notal Plant Flow						2.6 mgd	day 3.5 mgd
Total Residual Chlorine			2.9*				
Fecal Coliform			<10				
COD mg/1	446	310	310				
pH (S.U.)	7.2*	7.2*	7.1*				
pH (S.U.)	7.8	8.0	7.6				
Specific Conductance (umhos/cm)	2015*	2030*	1900				
Specific Conductance (umhos/cm)	2060	1740	2500				
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/l)	26.0	22.0	21.0				
NO <sub>2</sub> -N (mg/1)	<.5	<.5	<.5				
NO <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/l)	<.5	<.5	<.5				
0-P0 <sub>4</sub> -P (mg/l)	4.5	4.4	4.6				
T-PO <sub>4</sub> -P (mg/1)	6.7	6.8	6.1				
Total Solids (mg/l)	1254	1101	1054				
TNVS (mg/l)	959	899	840				
Total Sus. Solids (mg/l)	130	50	48		Abana de la composito de la co	denderman American	
TNVSS (mg/l)	30	10	12			in the second se	
Turbidity (NTUs)	77	45	50				
Temp °C	15.5*	15.5*	15.4*				

<sup>\*</sup> Field Analysis grab "<" is "less than" and ">" is "greater than"

Heavy Metals Results

November 8, 1978   Finfluent   November 8, 1978   November 9, 1978   November 8, 1978   November 8, 1978   November 8, 1978   November 9, 1978   November 8, 1978   November 9, 1978   November 9, 1978   November 9, 1978   November 8, 1978   November 9, 1978		. Heavy	Metals Resul	ts			
Copper       0.15       0.19       950         Chromium       0.13       0.07       540         Lead       0.10       0.10       630         Zinc       0.23       0.27       180         Cadmium       0.01       <0.01	November 8, 1978	Influent	Unchlori- nated Eff.	Sludge		1	NPDES (Monthly Average)
Chromium 0.13 0.07 540 Lead 0.10 0.10 630 Zinc 0.23 0.27 180 Cadmium 0.01 <0.01 16 Nickel 0.05 <0.05 95 Cyanide 5.50* 22.00*	·	*	mg/I	mg/kg dry w	•		
Lead       0.10       0.10       630         Zinc       0.23       0.27       180         Cadmium       0.01       <0.01	Copper	0.15	0.19	950			
Zinc 0.23 0.27 180 Cadmium 0.01 <0.01 16 Nickel 0.05 <0.05 95 Cyanide 5.50* 22.00*	Chromium	0.13	0.07	540			
Cadmium 0.01 <0.01 16 Nickel 0.05 <0.05 95 Cyanide 5.50* 22.00*	Lead	0.10	0.10	630			
Nickel 0.05 <0.05 95 Cyanide 5.50* 22.00*	Zinc	0.23	0.27	180			Perconstruction
Cyanide 5.50* 22.00*	Cadmium	0.01	<0.01	16			
	Nickel	0.05	<0.05	95			
*Parts per billion	Cyanide	5.50*	22.00*				
	*Parts per billion						

"<" is "less than" and ">" is "greater than"